

Loss aversion or preference imprecision? What drives the WTA-WTP disparity? An experimental illustration*

Michał Lewandowski[†] Łukasz Woźny[†] Michał Jakubczyk[‡]

January 24, 2026

Abstract

We report results of an experiment decomposing the disparity between willingness-to-pay (WTA) and willingness-to-pay (WTP) into two leading motives: loss aversion and preference imprecision. Our experiment is based on a method developed in a related paper Lewandowski et al. (2026).

Keywords: willingness to accept, willingness to pay, uncertainty aversion, loss aversion, incomplete preferences, short sales

JEL classification: D81, D91, C91

1 Introduction

Lewandowski et al. (2026) presented a model that allows for a decomposition of the disparity between willingness-to-accept (WTA) and willingness-to-pay (WTP) into two mechanisms: loss aversion and preference imprecision. In this paper, we

*We would like to thank Marina Agranov, Anujit Chakraborty, Jacek Chudziak, Federico Echenique, Luciano Pomatto, Charli Sprenger and Gerelt Tserenjigmid for helpful discussion during the writing of this paper. We also thank Tomasso Battistoni and Marek Kapera for coding our experiment in O-tree, deploying it and collecting data. Financial support from the National Science Centre, Poland (NCN grant number: 2021/41/B/HS4/03429).

[†]Department of Quantitative Economics, SGH Warsaw School of Economics, Warsaw, Poland.

[‡]Institute of Econometrics, SGH Warsaw School of Economics, Warsaw, Poland.

report the results of an experiment that elicits the relative strength of both these mechanisms.

The two mechanisms currently are the leading explanations of the WTA-WTP disparity. The prevalent behavioral explanation is based on loss aversion (Kahneman and Tversky, 1979; Marzilli Ericson and Fuster, 2014). It is based on the idea that *losing something hurts more than gaining the same thing pleases*.

Recently, Chapman et al. (2023) have found that the WTA-WTP gap is not correlated with loss aversion for risky prospects. This has revived interest in explanations based on preference imprecision/caution (Dubourg et al., 1994; Cubitt et al., 2015; Cerreia-Vioglio et al., 2024). These explanations are based on the idea that *if the good's value is uncertain, the decision maker becomes more inclined to buy low and sell high as a precaution*.

The approach of Lewandowski et al. (2026) allows for a decomposition of WTA-WTP gap into two parts: one due to preference imprecision and one due to loss aversion (defined as a residual). In the referenced study, the authors propose two WTA-WTP decompositions based on the boundary prices, and the two decompositions produce the lower and upper bound of preference imprecision. WTP is measured by a buying price. WTA is measured as a short-selling price.¹

In this paper, we elicit the *no buying price* and the *no short-selling price* of a prospect. The no buying price is the minimum price such that the decision maker is sure that the status quo is no worse than buying the prospect at this price. The no short-selling price is the maximum price such that the decision maker is sure that the status quo is no worse than short-selling the prospect at this price. Buying and no buying prices (and analogously, short-selling and no short-selling prices) are

¹Many papers define WTA as selling price instead of short-selling price. While the net position under selling and short-selling is the same, the two tasks differ in the initial endowment. When selling the prospect, the decision maker initially owns the prospect. When short-selling the prospect, the decision maker does not own the prospect and takes a short-position in the prospect. Under selling, you sell the lottery ticket issued by a third party. Under short-selling, you issue the lottery ticket to the buyer.

elicited using a modified multiple price list (MPL) procedure (see Cubitt et al. (2015), Agranov and Ortoleva, 2025, and Andersen et al. (2006)). In MPL, prices are listed in ascending order across rows, and for each price subjects choose among three options: *I certainly would buy (short-sell)*, *I am not sure*, and *I certainly would not buy (short-sell)*. The switching point away from the first option defines the buying (or short-selling) price, while the switching point away from the third option defines the no-buying (or no-short-selling) price.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces theoretical results from Lewandowski et al. (2026) necessary for the decompositions we study. Section 3 describes the conduct of the experiment. Section 4 reports results. Section 5 presents the discussion. Experimental instructions and screenshots are presented in Appendix A and B. The code and data can be found in the Open Science Framework repository: <https://osf.io/4uenj>.

2 Theory

S:Theory

Let S be a finite set of states. Its subsets are called events. Given a nonempty event A and real numbers x, y , a binary prospect $f = (x, y; A)$ is a real-valued mapping on S such that $f(s) = x$ if $s \in A$ and $f(s) = y$ if $s \in S \setminus A$. Let \mathcal{F} denote the set of binary prospects. We denote by $\lambda (\in \mathbb{R})$ a constant prospect whose values are λ for all states. Prospect 0 represents the status quo. We assume preferences over binary prospects \succsim satisfy assumptions **B0–B2** of Lewandowski et al. (2026) (preorder, monotonicity and continuity). \succ, \sim, \bowtie denote, respectively, the asymmetric, symmetric and indecision part of \succsim . We say that events A and A^c are symmetric for \succsim if, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $(x, y; A) \succsim 0 \iff (x, y; A^c) \succsim 0$, and the same implication holds when \succsim is replaced by \precsim . We say that a binary prospect $(x, y; A)$ is symmetric if the events A and A^c are symmetric.

For a binary prospect $f \in \mathcal{F}$, we define the following four price functionals:

$$\text{buying price } B : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad B(f) = \max\{\theta \in \mathbb{R} : f - \theta \succcurlyeq 0\}, \quad (1) \quad \text{Eq:buying2}$$

$$\text{no buying price } B_n : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad B_n(f) = \min\{\theta \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \succcurlyeq f - \theta\}, \quad (2) \quad \text{Eq:nobuying2}$$

$$\text{short-selling price } B^* : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad B^*(f) = \min\{\theta \in \mathbb{R} : \theta - f \succcurlyeq 0\}, \quad (3) \quad \text{Eq:sellshort2}$$

$$\text{no short-selling price } B_n^* : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad B_n^*(f) = \max\{\theta \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \succcurlyeq \theta - f\}. \quad (4) \quad \text{Eq:nosellshort2}$$

We have the following definitions:

Definition 1 (UA). \succcurlyeq is *uncertainty averse* if $f \succcurlyeq 0$ implies $-f \not\succcurlyeq 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem 1. \succcurlyeq is uncertainty averse if and only if $B^*(f) - B(f) > 0$ holds for every $f \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$. prop:UA1

Thus, uncertainty aversion is equivalent to the WTA–WTP disparity. Our objective is to decompose this disparity into two components: the part attributable to preference imprecision, and a residual component capturing the portion of uncertainty aversion about which the decision maker is confident.

Our framework is deliberately general and imposes no restrictions beyond those required to ensure the existence of the boundary prices defined in (1)–(4). As a consequence, identification of these two components is only partial. In more structured models, full identification is often achievable, as illustrated in (Lewandowski et al., 2026, Example 2).

However, our aim is to remain agnostic about the underlying model and to identify ranges for preference imprecision and for the “sure” component of the WTA–WTP disparity using only the elicited boundary prices. Accordingly, we present two decompositions: one that delivers an upper bound on preference imprecision, and another that delivers a lower bound.

2.1 Sure uncertainty aversion decomposition

The first decomposition, providing an upper bound on preference imprecision, relies on the following residual concept:

Definition 2 (Sure UA). \succsim is *surely uncertainty averse* if $0 \not\succ f$ then $0 \succ -f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$.

prop:UA2

Theorem 2. \succsim is surely uncertainty averse if and only if $B^*(f) - B(f) > 0$ and $B_n^*(f) - B_n(f) \geq 0$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$.

The decomposition is given by

$$\text{decomp 1: } \underbrace{B^*(f) - B(f)}_{\text{UA}} = \underbrace{B^*(f) - B_n^*(f)}_{\text{PI}_{-f}} + \underbrace{B_n^*(f) - B_n(f)}_{\text{sure UA}} + \underbrace{B_n(f) - B(f)}_{\text{PI}_f} \quad (5)$$

Eq:decomposition

where:

$$\text{PI}_f := \{\theta \in (B(f), B^*(f)) : 0 \bowtie f - \theta\}$$

$$\text{PI}_{-f} := \{\theta \in (B(f), B^*(f)) : 0 \bowtie \theta - f\}$$

$$\text{sure UA} := \{\theta \in (B(f), B^*(f)) : 0 \succsim f - \theta \wedge 0 \succsim \theta - f\}$$

2.2 Strong uncertainty aversion decomposition

The second decomposition, which delivers a lower bound on preference imprecision, is based on the following residual notion

Definition 3 (Strong UA). \succsim is *strongly uncertainty averse* if $f \succsim 0$ implies $0 \succ -f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem 3. \succsim is strongly uncertainty averse if and only if $B^*(f) - B(f) > 0$, $B^*(f) - B_n(f) \geq 0$ and $B_n^*(f) - B(f) \geq 0$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$.

prop:UA3

This leads to the following two decompositions:

$$\text{decomp 2a:} \quad \underbrace{B^*(f) - B(f)}_{\text{UA}} = \underbrace{B^*(f) - B_n(f)}_{\text{strong UA}_f} + \underbrace{B_n(f) - B(f)}_{\text{PI}_f}. \quad (6)$$

$$\text{decomp 2b:} \quad = \underbrace{B^*(f) - B_n^*(f)}_{\text{PI}_{-f}} + \underbrace{B_n^*(f) - B(f)}_{\text{strong UA}_{-f}}. \quad (7)$$

where

$$\text{strong UA}_f := \{\theta \in (B(f), B^*(f)) : 0 \succ f - \theta\}$$

$$\text{strong UA}_{-f} := \{\theta \in (B(f), B^*(f)) : 0 \succ \theta - f\}$$

3 Method

S:Experiment

3.1 Participants

Ninety-two undergraduate and master's students (ages 19–33) from the SGH Warsaw School of Economics, WSB Merito University, and the Higher School of Education in Sports participated in the study. Institutional approval to conduct the experiment was obtained from each participating institution. Participation was voluntary and unpaid.

The experiment was not incentivized. The rationale for this design choice, and the challenges associated with incentivizing this class of experiments, are discussed in the Discussion section. In total, 207 respondent–prospect observations were collected. After excluding incomplete responses (e.g., due to early survey termination), the final sample comprised 170 observations.

3.2 Design

3.2.1 Prospects

Each prospect involved drawing one ball at random from an urn containing 90 balls. Balls were either red or blue. Drawing a red ball yielded the higher payoff, whereas drawing a blue ball yielded the lower payoff. A prospect was defined by a pair (*source, payoffs*).

The source of uncertainty took one of three forms:

- **Risk:** The urn contained 45 red balls and 45 blue balls.
- **Uncertainty:** The composition of the urn was unknown.
- **Partial uncertainty:** The urn contained 30 red balls, 30 blue balls, and 30 balls of unknown color.

The payoffs were one of two ordered pairs: 600–100 PLN or 400–300 PLN.

3.2.2 Tasks

For each prospect, participants completed three pricing tasks:

- **Buying:** *Ticket X entitles the owner to draw one ball from the urn. Several possible prices are presented, and participants indicate whether they would buy the ticket at each price.*
- **Selling:** *Ticket X entitles the owner to draw one ball from the urn. Participants are asked to imagine that they already own such a ticket and to indicate whether they would sell it at each presented price.*
- **Issuing (short-selling):** *Ticket X entitles the owner to draw one ball from the urn. Participants may issue one such ticket to another (anonymous) person in exchange for a sure payment, while committing to pay the realized prize after the*

draw. Participants indicate whether they would issue the ticket at each presented price.

3.2.3 Assignment to Conditions

Participants were randomly assigned to one of three groups that differed in the prospects evaluated:

- **Group A:** (risk, 600–100) and (risk, 400–300),
- **Group B:** (uncertainty, 600–100) and (uncertainty, 400–300),
- **Group C:** (risk, 600–100), (partial uncertainty, 600–100), and (uncertainty, 600–100).

For each prospect, participants completed three multiple price lists (MPLs): one eliciting buying and no-buying prices, one eliciting selling and no-selling prices, and one eliciting issuing (short-selling) and no-short-selling prices. Consequently, participants in Groups A and B completed six tasks, whereas participants in Group C completed nine tasks.

The order of prospects and the order of tasks within each prospect were randomized. Full instructions, MPL tables, and the comprehension quiz are provided in the Appendix. Data and analysis code are publicly available on the Open Science Framework (<https://osf.io/4uenj>).

3.3 Materials and Apparatus

The experiment was implemented using *oTree*, an open-source platform for conducting web-based interactive experiments. Registered participants received a link to the online study, and data were collected via the Heroku cloud infrastructure.

3.4 Procedure

Preferences were elicited using multiple price lists (MPLs). Each MPL presented a sequence of prices for a given prospect. For each price, participants selected one of three options: “*I certainly would buy*”, “*I am not sure*”, or “*I certainly would not buy*”.

A participant behaving consistently should accept the ticket at low prices and reject it at high prices, possibly expressing uncertainty at intermediate prices. The price at which a participant first switched from “*I certainly would buy*” to either of the other two options defined the upper bound of the buying-price range. The price at which the participant first switched to “*I certainly would not buy*” defined the lower bound of the no-buying-price range. Buying and no-buying prices were identified using the midpoint of these ranges; results were robust to alternative definitions using minimum or maximum values.²

Short-selling MPLs followed the same structure, except that the rational switching direction was reversed: issuing a ticket yields a sure payment upfront, so a rational participant should accept high prices and reject low prices.

Before completing the experimental tasks, participants received training on the MPL format and were required to correctly answer comprehension questions to ensure understanding of the task structure.

4 Results

S:Results

Figure 1 presents the decompositions for prospects with payoffs (600, 100). The upper panel displays the sure UA decomposition; the lower panel shows the mean of the two strong UA decompositions, which were very similar.

We identify four groups of individuals (separated by dashed vertical lines): (1) A group for which the entire gap consists of sure/strong UA (positive or negative); (2) A group for which both components of the decomposition are strictly positive;

²The same procedure was applied to identify selling and short-selling prices.

(3) A group for which the entire gap is due to imprecision; (4) A group for which the sure/strong component is negative (uncertainty loving). In the fourth group, the overall UA gap may be positive or negative depending on whether positive imprecision outweighs negative sure/strong UA.

5 Discussion

S:Discussion

5.1 Correlation between WTA and WTP and between the WTA-WTP gap and loss aversion

Recently, Chapman et al. (2023) showed that WTA and WTP are not correlated and that the disparity between them is only weakly correlated with loss aversion. This challenges the view that loss aversion is the main explanation for the WTA–WTP disparity. We re-examine their findings using our dataset, our measure of WTA, and our measure of loss aversion. The left panel of Figure 2 shows the relation between WTA and WTP, and the right panel shows the relation between the WTA–WTP disparity and loss aversion. While we replicate their finding of no correlation between WTA and WTP, we document a positive correlation between the WTA–WTP gap (UA) and our measure of loss aversion (sure UA).

5.2 Incentive problems in experimental design

Incentive-compatible elicitation procedures are standard in experimental economics, typically implemented by (randomized) monetary payoffs based on elicited preferences. In applying our setting, however, two difficulties arise. First, it is unclear how to incentivize the elicitation of loss aversion. Our framework compares the prices of f and $-f$, and at least one of these prospects involves negative payoffs. For truthful revelation, participants must treat such losses as real possibilities, which conflicts with the usual requirement that participants should not lose money. Previous studies

Figure 1: Decomposition of UA (i.e., the WTA-WTP gap). Each vertical segment represents one individual. The upper panel presents decomposition 1. The bottom panel presents the mean of decomposition 2a and 2b. An absolute part of the UA attributed to preference imprecision is presented in orange (always positive), while the sure or strong part of the gap is presented in blue. Respondents are ordered by UA (black line), separately within four groups (explained in main text). The upper panel is clipped at 600.





Figure 2: Left panel: WTA vs. WTP (both normalized by subtracting minimal pay-off and dividing by pay-off range). LOESS regression line added. Right panel: uncertainty aversion vs. sure uncertainty aversion (both normalized by dividing by pay-off range). Regression line added. Both axes are clipped to $(-1, 1)$.



attempted to address this by introducing upfront payments (e.g., show-up fees) that are reduced if a “negative prize” is drawn (e.g., Schmidt and Traub, 2002; Abdellaoui et al., 2007). However, this approach is limited by the size of the show-up fee, especially when one wishes to study substantial losses. Second, it is unclear how to incentivize choices in regions of indecision or preference incompleteness. In particular, distinguishing “surely not buying” from “not buying out of caution” is challenging as no decision is elicited at this region. One possible solution is to delegate the decision in the imprecision region to an external DM with complete preferences; see Cettolin and Riedl (2019); Nielsen and Rigotti (2024) for recent discussions. Finally, the literature has begun to distinguish incompleteness from indifference regions, and some progress has been made (e.g., Agranov and Ortoleva, 2025).

References

- Abdellaoui, M., H. Bleichrodt, and C. Paraschiv (2007). Loss aversion under prospect theory: A parameter-free measurement. *Management Science* 53(10), 1659–1674.
- Agranov, M. and P. Ortoleva (2025). Ranges of randomization. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 107(6), 1702–1713.
- Andersen, S., G. W. Harrison, M. I. Lau, and E. E. Rutström (2006). Elicitation using multiple price list formats. *Experimental Economics* 9, 383–405.
- Cerreia-Vioglio, S., D. Dillenberger, and P. Ortoleva (2024). Caution and reference effects. *Econometrica* 92(6), 2069–2103.
- Cettolin, E. and A. Riedl (2019). Revealed preferences under uncertainty: Incomplete preferences and preferences for randomization. *Journal of Economic Theory* 181, 547–585.
- Chapman, J., M. Dean, P. Ortoleva, E. Snowberg, and C. Camerer (2023). Willingness to accept, willingness to pay, and loss aversion. Technical Report 30836, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Cubitt, R. P., D. Navarro-Martinez, and C. Starmer (2015). On preference imprecision. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* 50, 1–34.
- Dubourg, W. R., M. W. Jones-Lee, and G. Loomes (1994). Imprecise preferences and the WTP–WTA disparity. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* 9, 115–133.
- Kahneman, D. and A. Tversky (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica* 47(2), 263–292.
- Lewandowski, M., Ł. Woźny, and M. Jakubczyk (2026). Loss aversion or preference imprecision? What drives the WTA-WTP disparity? working paper.

Marzilli Ericson, K. M. and A. Fuster (2014). The endowment effect. *Annual Review of Economics* 6(1), 555–579.

Nielsen, K. and L. Rigotti (2024). Revealed incomplete preferences. Working paper.

Schmidt, U. and S. Traub (2002). An experimental test of loss aversion. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* 25(3), 233–249.

A Experimental instructions

S:Instructions

[Screen 1] Introduction We invite you to participate in a noncommercial research study: *Preference imprecision or loss aversion. What drives uncertainty aversion?*

In this study, you will be trading lottery tickets that pay out cash prizes depending on the color (blue or red) of a ball drawn from an urn. In each task, you will be asked to imagine you are in one of three possible different roles: 1) a buyer, 2) a seller, or 3) a bookmaker of a ticket. If you are a buyer, we will present you with multiple potential prices for a ticket and ask you if you would definitely buy a ticket at a given price or if you would definitely not buy a ticket or if you are not sure. In the roles of seller or bookmaker, it will be very similar, but instead of buying, we will ask you about selling or issuing a ticket.

In this survey:

- there are no right or wrong answers*
- your answers are fully anonymous
- you can quit at any time

* except for the comprehension test at the beginning and the risk literacy test at the end of the survey.

Estimated completion time is 12–15 minutes. By clicking NEXT you implicitly agree to terms and conditions stated HERE [see below].

Thank you for your participation!

Dr. Michał Lewandowski

Michal.lewandowski@sgh.waw.pl

On behalf of the scientific team

NEXT

[Screen 2] Comprehension quiz Of course, people's preferences are different. As a result, most survey questions do not have one right or wrong answer. However, some answers suggest that the question has been misunderstood (e.g. if a given person declares that they would pay 100 PLN for a single 50 PLN banknote). On this screen we want to make sure you fully understand the scenarios we are asking you to imagine. Therefore, we may point out some of your answers as potentially incorrect.

Imagine an urn containing only blue and red balls. One ball will be drawn randomly **TOMORROW** at noon. Ticket X entitles its owner to receive a cash prize, paid right after the draw, the amount of which depends on the color of the drawn ball: the red ball pays 600 zlotys and the blue ball pays 100 zlotys.

We will present you with three decision scenarios, each of which takes place **TO-DAY**.

Scenario 1: Buying ticket X

You do not have ticket X , but can buy one for a certain amount paid today.

Scenario 2: Selling ticket X

You already have one ticket X . You can sell it for a certain amount you will receive today. Please note that by selling the ticket you are waiving your right to receive one of the cash prizes paid to the ticket holder tomorrow.

Scenario 3: Issuing ticket X

In this scenario, you act as a bank. You can issue one ticket X to another person in exchange for a certain amount paid today. Please note that by doing this you are committing to pay the ticket prize determined in the draw tomorrow.

What is the minimum payout in zlotys you will receive tomorrow if you issue one ticket X ?

What is the maximum payout in zlotys you will receive tomorrow if you issue one ticket X ?

If X is offered for free, would you take it?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I'm not sure

If you had one ticket X , would you sell it for free?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I'm not sure

Would you issue one ticket X to another person for free?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I'm not sure

NEXT

The subsequent screens present a series of pricing tasks, each structured as follows:

1. a description of the prospect,
2. a description of the task,
3. the MPL table,
4. a confirmation and refinement stage.

See the design subsection ?? and example screens in Appendix B.

[Screen after the MPL tables] Tell us something about yourself.

What is your age?

What is your gender?

- ☐ Male
- ☐ Female
- ☐ Prefer not to say

What is your education field?

- ☐ Formal sciences

- Social sciences: business, economics, finance
- Social sciences: psychology, sociology
- Social sciences: other
- Natural sciences
- Other

NEXT

[Screens with risk literacy test] We adopted the adaptive Berlin numeracy test format taken from Schwartz, L. M., Woloshin, S., Black, W. C., & Welch, H. G. (1997), *The role of numeracy in understanding the benefit of screening mammography. Annals of Internal Medicine*, 127(11).

Instructions: Thank you for staying with us up to this point. The last 2 or 3 questions of the survey will check how you understand risk situations. Do not use a calculator, but feel free to use scratch paper for notes. *[See Figure 1 for adaptive test structure: it presents the next questions depending on whether the previous question has been answered correctly and assigns people to one of four groups.]*

Q1. Out of 1,000 people in a small town, 500 are members of a choir. Out of these 500 members in the choir, 100 are men. Out of the 500 inhabitants that are not in the choir, 300 are men. What is the probability that a randomly drawn man is a member of the choir? Please indicate the probability in percent.

%

Q2a. Imagine we are throwing a five-sided die 50 times. On average, out of these 50 throws, how many times would this five-sided die show an odd number (1, 3, or 5)? out of 50 throws

Q2b. Imagine we are throwing a loaded die (6 sides). The probability that the die shows a 6 is twice as high as the probability of each of the other numbers. On average,

out of these 70 throws, how many times would the die show the number 6?
out of 70 throws

Q3. In a forest, 20% of mushrooms are red, 50% brown, and 30% white. A red mushroom is poisonous with a probability of 20%. A mushroom that is not red is poisonous with a probability of 5%. What is the probability that a poisonous mushroom in the forest is red?

Algorithm 1: Adaptive assignment of participants to groups based on responses

Input: Answers to questions Q1, Q2a, Q2b, Q3

Output: Assigned Group (I, II, III, IV)

Ask Q1;

if *Q1 is incorrect* **then**

 Ask Q2a;

if *Q2a is incorrect* **then**

 Assign participant to Group I;

end

else

 Assign participant to Group II;

end

end

else

 Ask Q2b;

if *Q2b is correct* **then**

 Assign participant to Group IV;

end

else

 Ask Q3;

if *Q3 is correct* **then**

 Assign participant to Group IV;

end

else

 Assign participant to Group III;

end

end

end

[Farewell screen] Thank you for participating in this survey!

We value your time and want to assure you that the time and effort you put into answering the questions in this survey will not be wasted.

If you would like to be informed about survey results and how they are used to support our scientific claims, please indicate that you wish to receive project updates.

Yours sincerely,

Michal Lewandowski

On behalf of the whole academic team

B Screenshots

S: Screenshots

Figure 3: Introductory screen

fig: intro

Introduction

We invite you to participate in a noncommercial research study: ***Preference imprecision or loss aversion. What drives uncertainty aversion?***

In this study, you will be trading lottery tickets that pay out cash prizes depending on the color (blue or red) of a ball drawn from an urn. In each task, you will be asked to imagine you are in one of three possible different roles: 1) a buyer, 2) a seller, or 3) a bookmaker of a ticket. If you are a buyer, we will present you with multiple potential prices for a ticket and ask you if you would definitely buy a ticket at a given price or if you would definitely not buy a ticket or if you are not sure. In the roles of seller or bookmaker, it will be very similar, but instead of buying, we will ask about selling or issuing a ticket.

In this survey:

- there are no right or wrong answers*
- your answers are fully anonymous
- you can quit at any time

* except for the comprehension test at the beginning and the risk literacy test at the end of the survey.

Estimated completion time is 12-15 minutes. By clicking next you implicitly agree to terms and conditions stated [HERE](#).

Thank you for your participation!

Dr. Michał Lewandowski

Michal.lewandowski@sgh.waw.pl

on behalf of the scientific team

Next

Powered by oTree

Figure 4: Comprehension quiz

fig:compr

Instructions and questions

Comprehension Quiz

Of course, people's preferences are different. As a result, most survey questions do not have one right or wrong answer. However, some answers suggest that the question has been misunderstood (e.g. if a given person declares that they would pay 100 PLN for a single 50 PLN banknote). On this screen we want to make sure you fully understand the scenarios we are asking you to imagine. Therefore, we may point out some of your answers as potentially incorrect.

Imagine an urn containing only blue and red balls. One ball will be **drawn randomly TOMORROW** at noon. **Ticket X** entitles its owner to receive a cash prize, paid right after the draw, the amount of which depends on the color of the drawn ball: the red ball pays **600 zlotys** and the blue ball pays **100 zlotys**.



We will present you with three decision scenarios, each of which takes place **TODAY**.

Scenario 1: Buying ticket X

You do not have ticket X, but you can buy one for a certain amount paid today.

Scenario 2: Selling ticket X

You already have one ticket X. You can sell it for a certain amount which you will receive today. Please note that by selling the ticket you are waiving your right to receive one of the cash prizes paid to the ticket holder tomorrow.

Scenario 3: Issuing ticket X

In this scenario, you act as a bank. You can issue one ticket X to another person in exchange for a certain amount paid today. Please note that by doing this you are committing to pay the ticket holder the prize determined in the draw tomorrow.

What is the minimum payout in zlotys you will receive tomorrow if you have one ticket X?

What is the maximum payout in zlotys you will have to pay tomorrow if you issue one ticket X?

If X is offered for free, would you take it?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ I'm not sure

If you had one ticket X, would you sell it for free?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ I'm not sure

Would you issue one ticket X to another person for free?

- ☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ I'm not sure

Next

Reassessment

fig:compr-reassess

Comprehension Quiz

You replied that **you didn't want ticket X for free**. This way you lose a certain profit: 600 zlotys if a red ball is drawn or 100 zlotys if a blue ball is drawn.

Please consider the same question again.

- ☐ I am changing my answer and want ticket X for free.
- ☐ I still don't want this ticket for free.

You replied that **you would sell ticket X for free**. This way you lose a certain profit: 600 zlotys if a red ball is drawn or 100 zlotys if a blue ball is drawn.

Please consider the same question again.

- ☐ I'm changing my answer and would not sell ticket X for free.
- ☐ I still want to sell ticket X for free.

You replied that **you were not sure if you wanted to issue ticket X for free**. Note that by issuing the ticket for free you lose money for sure: 600 zlotys if a red ball is drawn or 100 zlotys if a blue ball is drawn.

Please consider the same question again.

- ☐ I don't want to issue ticket Y for free.
- ☐ I want to issue ticket Y for free.


Next

Figure 5: Buying a prospect with source = risk and payoffs = 600–100 fig:buy-risk-600

Description of the prospect and task

Buying a ticket 600-100

Imagine an urn containing 90 colored balls **half of which are blue and half are red**.



Ticket 600-100 entitles the owner to draw one ball from the urn. The payout depends on the color of the drawn ball:

The blue ball pays 600 PLN,

The red ball pays 100 PLN.

On the next screen we will present you with several possible **prices** and you have to answer **if you would BUY the ticket at that price**.

Next

MPL table before selection is made

Buying a ticket 600-100

Reminder: ticket 600-100 pays **600 PLN** if a **blue** ball is drawn and **100 PLN** if a **red** ball is drawn from an Urn containing 90 colored balls **half of which are blue and half are red**.

If the price was...	...I certainly would buy	...I am not sure	...I certainly would not buy
100 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
110 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
120 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
130 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
140 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
150 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
160 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
170 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
180 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
190 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
200 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
210 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
220 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
230 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
240 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
250 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
260 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
270 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
280 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
290 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
300 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
310 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
320 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
330 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
340 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
350 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
360 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
370 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
380 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
390 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
400 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
410 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
420 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
430 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
440 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
450 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
460 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
470 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
480 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
490 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
500 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
510 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
520 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
530 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
540 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
550 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
560 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
570 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
580 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
590 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
600 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Confirm

Please note that once you press the Confirm button, you will **not** be able to go back and change your answer.

MPL table after selection is made

Buying a ticket 600-100

Reminder: ticket 600-100 pays **600 PLN** if a **blue** ball is drawn and **100 PLN** if a **red** ball is drawn from an Urn containing 90 colored balls **half of which are blue and half are red**.

If the price was...	...I certainly would buy	...I am not sure	...I certainly would not buy
100 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
110 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
120 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
130 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
140 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
150 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
160 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
170 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
180 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
190 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
200 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
210 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
220 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
230 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
240 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
250 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
260 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
270 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
280 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
290 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
300 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
310 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
320 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
330 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
340 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
350 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
360 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
370 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
380 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
390 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
400 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
410 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
420 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
430 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
440 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
450 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
460 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
470 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
480 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
490 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
500 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
510 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
520 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
530 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
540 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
550 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
560 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
570 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
580 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
590 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
600 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Confirm

Please note that once you press the Confirm button, you will **not** be able to go back and change your answer.


Figure 4: Selling a prospect with source = uncertainty and payoffs = 400–300

fig:selling-uncertainty-400

Description of the prospect and task

Selling a ticket 400-300

Imagine an urn containing 90 blue or red balls of unknown proportions.




Ticket 400-300 entitles the owner to draw one ball from the urn. The payout depends on the color of the drawn ball:

The blue ball pays 400 PLN,

The red ball pays 300 PLN.

Imagine you hold one such ticket. On the next screen we will present you with several possible **prices** and you have to answer if **you would SELL this ticket at that price.**

Next



MPL table before selection is made

Selling a ticket 400-300

Reminder: ticket 400-300 pays **400 PLN** if a **blue** ball is drawn and **300 PLN** if a **red** ball is drawn from an Urn containing 90 colored balls each of which is either blue or red, but **the number of balls of each color is unknown**.

If the price was...	...I certainly would sell	...I am not sure	...I certainly would not sell
300 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
305 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
310 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
315 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
320 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
325 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
330 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
335 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
340 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
345 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
350 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
355 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
360 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
365 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
370 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
375 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
380 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
385 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
390 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
395 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
400 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Confirm

Please note that once you press the Confirm button, you will **not** be able to go back and change your answer.

MPL table after selection is made

Selling a ticket 400-300

Reminder: ticket 400-300 pays **400 PLN** if a **blue** ball is drawn and **300 PLN** if a **red** ball is drawn from an Urn containing 90 colored balls each of which is either blue or red, but **the number of balls of each color is unknown**.

If the price was...	...I certainly would sell	...I am not sure	...I certainly would not sell
300 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
305 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
310 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
315 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
320 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
325 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
330 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
335 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
340 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
345 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
350 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
355 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
360 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
365 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
370 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
375 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
380 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
385 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
390 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
395 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
400 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Confirm

Please note that once you press the Confirm button, you will **not** be able to go back and change your answer.


Figure 3: Issuing (short-selling) a prospect with source = partial and payoffs = 600–100

fig:issuing-partial-600

Description of the prospect and task

Issuing a ticket *AMBIGUITY*

Imagine an urn containing 90 colored balls, each of which is either blue or red. You know that **30 of these balls are blue, 30 red, and 30 of an unknown color (blue or red).**



Ticket *AMBIGUITY* entitles the owner to draw one ball from the urn. The payout depends on the color of the drawn ball:

The blue ball pays 600 PLN,

The red ball pays 100 PLN.

Suppose you can **issue one such ticket** to another (anonymous) person. In a sense **you will be acting like a bank** to this person: you will have to pay out (with your own money) the cash reward to the owner of ticket *AMBIGUITY* (of course the result of the draw remains unknown for now).

The other person must pay you to get the ticket. On the next screen we will present you with **several** possible prices and you have to answer **if you would ISSUE this ticket at that price.**

Next

MPL table before selection is made

Issuing a ticket *AMBIGUITY*

Reminder: ticket *AMBIGUITY* pays **600 PLN** if a **blue** ball is drawn and **100 PLN** if a **red** ball is drawn from an Urn containing 90 colored balls each of which is either blue or red. You know that **30 of these balls are blue**, **30 red**, and **30 of an unknown color** (blue or red).

If the price was...	...I certainly would issue the ticket	...I am not sure	...I certainly would not issue the ticket
100 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
110 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
120 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
130 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
140 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
150 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
160 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
170 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
180 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
190 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
200 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
210 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
220 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
230 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
240 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
250 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
260 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
270 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
280 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
290 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
300 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
310 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
320 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
330 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
340 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
350 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
360 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
370 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
380 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
390 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
400 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
410 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
420 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
430 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
440 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
450 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
460 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
470 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
480 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
490 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
500 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
510 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
520 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
530 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
540 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
550 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
560 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
570 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
580 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
590 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
600 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Confirm

MPL table after selection is made

Issuing a ticket *AMBIGUITY*

Reminder: ticket *AMBIGUITY* pays **600 PLN** if a **blue** ball is drawn and **100 PLN** if a **red** ball is drawn from an Urn containing 90 colored balls each of which is either blue or red. You know that **30 of these balls are blue, 30 red, and 30 of an unknown color** (blue or red).

If the price was...	...I certainly would issue the ticket	...I am not sure	...I certainly would not issue the ticket
100 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
110 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
120 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
130 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
140 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
150 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
160 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
170 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
180 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
190 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
200 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
210 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
220 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
230 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
240 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
250 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
260 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
270 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
280 PLN	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
290 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
300 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
310 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
320 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
330 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
340 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
350 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
360 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
370 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
380 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
390 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
400 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
410 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
420 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
430 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
440 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
450 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
460 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
470 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
480 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
490 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
500 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
510 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
520 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
530 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
540 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
550 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
560 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
570 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
580 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
590 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
600 PLN	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Confirm

Figure 2: Risk literacy test

fig:risk-literacy

First question

Risk literacy test

Thank you for staying with us up to this point. The last 2 or 3 questions of the survey will check how you understand risk situations. Do not use a calculator but feel free to use the scratch paper for notes.

Out of 1,000 people in a small town 500 are members of a choir. Out of these 500 members in the choir 100 are men. Out of the 500 inhabitants that are not in the choir 300 are men. What is the probability that a randomly drawn man is a member of the choir? Please indicate the probability in percent.

 %

Next

Second question

Question 2

Imagine we are throwing a five-sided die 50 times. On average, out of these 50 throws how many times would this five-sided die show an odd number (1, 3 or 5)?

 out of 50 throws

Next

Feedback

Risk literacy test: feedback

Question 1: Out of 1,000 people in a small town 500 are members of a choir. Out of these 500 members in the choir 100 are men. Out of the 500 inhabitants that are not in the choir 300 are men. What is the probability that a randomly drawn man is a member of the choir? Please indicate the probability in percent.

Your answer: 1
Correct answer: 25

Question 2: Imagine we are throwing a five-sided die 50 times. On average, out of these 50 throws how many times would this five-sided die show an odd number (1, 3 or 5)?

Your answer: 1
Correct answer: 30

If you want to know how you fared against other people, go to <http://www.riskliteracy.org/> and look for the results in the publications section.

Next

Powered by oTree

Figure 1: Metrics screen

fig:metrics

Tell us something about yourself

What is your age?

What is your gender?

- ☐ Male
- ☐ Female
- ☐ Prefer not to say

What is your education field?

- ☐ Formal sciences
- ☐ Social sciences: business, economics, finance
- ☐ Social sciences: psychology, sociology
- ☐ Social sciences: other
- ☐ Natural sciences
- ☐ Other

Next